

The Johns Hopkins University

Baltimore, Maryland

London, Sept. 13<sup>th</sup>, 1938

Dear Nelson,

your letter of the 29<sup>th</sup> and 31<sup>st</sup> of August, have been duly received, as  
was also the carbon copy of your letter of the 7<sup>th</sup>, the original of which had  
reached me in Baltimore.

Everyone was sorry to miss you at Brussels, Miss Caton-Thompson  
was particularly anxious to see you. Morgenstern was there for most of  
the Congress, and Glidden appeared for the last two days with his wife.  
I gave Winnett's paper to Ryckmans after reading it; the Minson paper  
I have with me. I shall see whether it can be made suitable for  
the Bulletin; it is a little thin for JAS, but with a little rearrangement can  
perhaps fit the Bulletin.

I am very sorry to hear of Helen's continued illness, and earnestly hope  
that she has recovered since your letters of a fortnight ago.

It is hardly likely that the publication in the October Bulletin, which went  
to press Aug. 22<sup>nd</sup>, and must have been in 2<sup>nd</sup> page proof when I received  
your letters Sept. 9<sup>th</sup>, will be embarrassing. I was very careful about the  
text of your article, which can hardly contain anything which you will have  
to recall. It is I, not you, who will have to recall certain comments, especially  
on the Coptic inscription. It is a very unusual cast, where I would not  
have ventured out on the ice but for the seeming agreement of the enlarged  
plate with your hand copy, which also offered a clear hat here. After the  
comparative tables by you and Harding (which I return herewith) your reading  
seems certain. However, I don't think that a date before the 7<sup>th</sup> century is  
well possible, on account of cogn (open at the top) and vacum, to say nothing of  
he and teaf. The form of the jug on which the impression was found, agrees well  
with a seventh-century date. I suggest that the jugs of late type belong  
to the same time (you say that there are a number which are practically de-  
nominated by fig. 14, p. 83 of TBMI), since most of the TBMI specimens belong  
to ± 600 B.C., and that # 445 belongs to an early phase of stratum III.

(8<sup>th</sup> century), these might be certainly very important for dating purposes.  
No. 536 escapes me entirely; I have no idea about it. — At present, then,  
a date in the 8<sup>th</sup>-9<sup>th</sup> century for IV and the 7<sup>th</sup>-8<sup>th</sup> cent. for IV looks reasonable.  
But don't try to put the Swiss seal back into the 8<sup>th</sup> century, since it simply  
won't work!

I suggest to the Decembre Bulletin the article on the history and topo-  
graphy of Klostorf which you have promised, and a good transcript of the devotional  
inscription, as well as of the graffiti, to replace the tentative one which appears  
in this issue. Burns will present a paper on the discoveries at the Thuner-  
see, my meeting of the Philosophical Society, in which anything available up to  
then will be utilized. I shall sound at Constance et al. before the new  
application is made, so that we shan't make a mistake there.

I am completely at a loss as to the symbol above the shoulder of the soldier.  
If they can't determine what it is, who is there who can? If I run across some-  
one who really knows around here I shall ask him. There was no one at the  
Bennet's, I am sure.

The Congress, by the way, was a whale of a success from my point of view,  
in spite of the fact that I spent the first day and a half in bed with bronchitis.  
There were some 500 persons present. The Mai discovery and the new teach-  
ing steps were enough to fill me with enthusiasm. The Pro-Slavery debate  
fell altogether as a debt to the absence of Dred and Virgil.  
Indeed, the English text of my paper, with little change, appears in the October  
Bulletin, so you can see for yourself that the Negrito theory is philologically dead.  
Change it will wriggle and even jump about for a few years more. Dr. Vaux's  
paper was very well received; he is a charming man as well as a brilliant  
scholar. I also saw a good deal of Mayes and of

I shall be back in Baltimore by Oct. 2<sup>nd</sup>. News from Ruth is good. She is  
taking her medicine very seriously and is making steady progress under dif-  
ferent teachers. — All is married (to a woman Ph.D. who was employed  
in the office of Hinrichs), today woman!

Very cordially yours,  
William