THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

October 16th, 1930

Tot elds of bad saidthy .TM bas .mid holw yave from so dimined Dear Dr. Glueck:

two because Dr. Kyle inadventently carried the

It is a pleasure to hear from you, and know that you will be able to get off early next spring. You know that I am anxious to have you with us, and I am equally confident that Dr. Sellers is. I told Dr. Rosenau about your work with us this past summer, and he appeared to be very much pleased. I think that he will support any action taken in your favor by the Board of Governors.

I am working a good deal, though not entirely on Tell Beit Mirsim. Our department is somewhat better this year than last; I think we may expect a fairly steady improvement from now on for several years, until we reach our normal high water mark again in the way of enrollment.

My trip home was very satisfactory; I arrived with all my plans, etc., undamaged and intact, greatly to my relief. I spent three days in Paris, but no one was there, and so I could do nothing but rest and write. On the way across the Atlantic I finished my quota of material for the Jewish Cyclopedia.

The report to the Schools on the third campaign will appear in the October Bulletin; I believe it is already in print, with photos of the lions, etc. I shall also send one to ZAW, where I shall discuss the bearing of our finds on various problems of Hebrew history.

The division was not bad, from my point of view. I really have no idea whether there will be any duplicates which Dr. Kyle may want to dispose of or not. Pottery, of course, there is, as you know. The shipment of the finds from this season's work was

delayed a month or two because Dr. Kyle inadvertently carried the permit to export away with him, and Mr. Whiting had to cable for it, since the Department of Antiquities refuses on principle to give duplicate permits, after some unpleasant experiences.

With best regards, I am . The desired of another war and the second of another war.

support any action taken in your favor by the Board of Gov-

presend to be very much pleased. I think that he

tasq aidt au dilw Micow rucy fueds vanesca Yery sincerely yours,

P.S. I am sending you three photographs taken by Mr. Matson

under separate cover, by this mail.

nadd teev and release danvence of specimens and second description of the secondary passes with a description of the secondary passes are not not described and find the secondary passes and not described and not secondary passes and not described and not secondary passes are not secondary passes and not secondary passes and not secondary passes are not seco

My trip home was very satisfactory; I arrived with all

my plans, etc., undanaged and intact, greatly to my relief. I spent three days in Paris, but no one was there, and so I could do nothing but rest and write. On the way scross the Atlantic I finished my quots of material for the Jewish Cyclopedia.

The report to the Schools on the third campaign will appear in the October Builetin; I believe it is already in print, with photos of the Lions, etc. I shall also send one to ZAW, where I shall discuss the bearing of our finds on various problems of Sebrew bistory.

The division was not bad, from my point of view. I really have no idea whether there will be any duplicates which Dr. Hyle may want to dispose of or not. Pottery, of course, there is, say you know. The shipment of the finds from this seasons work was

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

October 16th, 1930

Dear Dr. Glueck:

I have just read the article in Asia, with which you have had so much trouble. I can readily understand your vexation with the editors of Asia. I suppose that you were a bit too anxious to be correct in your attitude toward the various scholarsengaged in Palestine exploration. If you had referred to them by name only, in as casual a way as possible, the editor would probably not have deleted them. It boils down to the fact that the proofs could not be read, owing to the circumstances you mention. I have had plenty of experience with the results of not being in a position to read the proof; in fact, over half my papers have not been proof-read by me before their appearance, with all sorts of unpleasant consequences.

I hope that Dr. Sukenik does not take it amiss. Had

I read the article before seeing your letters, I should have

put the absence of names--if I had noticed it--down to edito
rial revision, but Sukenik isn't so hard-boiled in this respect.

At all events, the article itself is very good indeed, and ought
to be widely read. You have my hearty congratulations.

Very sincerely yours,

W. F. Albright